

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

FERNANDO FONTANEZ,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 23-03540 (UNA)
)	
)	
JOE BIDEN <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter, filed *pro se*, is before the Court on its initial review of Plaintiff’s complaint, ECF No. 1, and application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, ECF No. 2. The Court will grant the application and dismiss the complaint.

Plaintiff, a resident of Washington, D.C., has filed a document captioned Petition to Reimburse War on Terror to U.S. Government and U.S. Taxpayer After Private Oil Companies Gleaned Billions in Profits of Iraqi Oil at Taxpayer Expense. The named defendants are President Joe Biden and U.S. Treasury Secretary Janet Yellen. Beyond the caption, the complaint is incomprehensible. Regardless, the Supreme Court “has consistently held” that a plaintiff raising, as here, “only a generally available grievance about government,” claiming no specific harm to himself, and “seeking relief that no more directly and tangibly benefits him than it does the public at large does not state an Article III case or controversy” or establish Article III standing. *Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife*, 504 U.S. 555, 573-74 (1992); see *DaimlerChrysler Corp. v. Cuno*, 547 U.S. 332, 342 (2006) (“The ‘core component’ of the requirement that a litigant have standing to invoke the authority of a federal court ‘is an essential and unchanging part of the case-or-

controversy requirement of Article III.’ ”) (quoting *Lujan*, 504 U.S. at 560). Consequently, this case will be dismissed by separate order.

Date: January 12, 2024

/s/
CHRISTOPHER R. COOPER
United States District Judge