UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

KENNETH SPENCER ALEXANDER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

ANALISA TORRES, District Judge:

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #:

DATE FILED: _ 8/21/2023

23 Civ. 6964 (AT)

ORDER

Plaintiff pro se, Kenneth Spencer Alexander, brings this action against Defendant, the Commissioner of Social Security, alleging improper administration of his benefits under the Social Security Act. Plaintiff alleges that, at various times over the past ten years, the Social Security Administration ("SSA") stopped paying or withheld Plaintiff's disability and/or retirement benefits for the reason of overpayment and/or ineligibility. Compl. at 2-4, ECF No. 1. For the reasons set forth below, Plaintiff is directed to file an amended complaint to address the following two issues.¹

First, Plaintiff has not indicated why the Southern District of New York is the appropriate forum for his complaint. An action for judicial review under the Social Security Act "shall" be brought in the judicial district "in which the plaintiff resides, or has his principal place of business, or, if he does not reside or have his principal place of business within any such judicial district, in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia." 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). Plaintiff's complaint indicates that he resides in Jerusalem, Israel. See Compl. at 1. Plaintiff has not indicated that his principal place of business is within the Southern District of New York. See

[plaintiff's] favor; (2) likelihood of irreparable injury; (3) the balance of hardships tipping in the plaintiff's favor; and (4) the public interest. Mendez v. Banks, 65 F.4th 56, 63-64 (2d Cir. 2023). For the same reasons requiring an amended complaint, Plaintiff has not demonstrated either a likelihood of success or sufficiently serious questions on the merits.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction is DENIED.

¹ Plaintiff has also filed a motion for "temporary injunction." ECF No. 5. The Court construes this motion as seeking a preliminary injunction. To obtain a preliminary injunction, Plaintiff must show (1) either "a likelihood of success on the merits" or "sufficiently serious questions going to the merits . . . and a balance of hardships tipping decidedly in the

generally Compl. If filing in this judicial district is appropriate, the Court directs Plaintiff to consult the form complaint created by the Southern District of New York and attached to this order. Plaintiff is specifically directed to fill out paragraph number 2 explaining why this case is properly brought in the Southern District of New York. If Plaintiff's principal place of business is not within this judicial district, Plaintiff is directed to file his complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.

Second, Plaintiff fails to identify or attach any final agency decision for review. An individual may obtain judicial review of "any final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security made after a hearing to which he was a party . . . within sixty days after the mailing to him of notice of such decision or within such further time as the Commissioner of Social Security may allow." 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). The SSA regulations require claimants to complete a four-step administrative process in order to obtain a judicially reviewable final decision, consisting of "(1) an initial determination; (2) reconsideration; (3) an [Administrative Law Judge] decision; and (4) Appeals Council review." Davis v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec., No. 15 Civ. 6301, 2016 WL 3390586, at *4 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 18, 2016) (citing Heckler v. Day, 467 U.S. 104, 106–07 (1984); 20 C.F.R. § 404.900(a)), report and recommendation adopted, 2016 WL 3453452 (S.D.N.Y. June 16, 2016). A claimant may not file suit until all four steps are complete. Id. (citing Mathews v. Eldridge, 424 U.S. 319, 327 (1976)). The exhaustion requirement may only be waived under limited circumstances such as "where the claim is collateral to a demand for benefits, exhaustion of administrative remedies would be futile, or the plaintiff would suffer irreparable harm if required to exhaust administrative remedies." Baptiste v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec., No. 09 Civ. 10178, 2010 WL 2985197, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. July 27, 2010) (citation omitted).

Plaintiff has not attached any decision for review. *See generally* Compl. (attaching only a request for a hearing by an Administrative Law Judge dated August 1, 2023). Plaintiff references

multiple decisions to withhold his benefits in 2015, 2016, 2021, 2022, and 2023. *See id.* at 2–4. However, Plaintiff also stated that in "about July 2023, full retirement benefits were reinstated pending appeal." *Id.* at 3. Plaintiff has thus not alleged when the agency allegedly violated his rights. *Id.* Plaintiff has also not indicated whether he has exhausted his administrative remedies. *Id.* The Court directs Plaintiff to consult the form complaint attached to this order, fill out paragraph numbers 6, 7, and 8, and attach the letter from the Appeals Council of the Social Security Administration to the complaint.

CONCLUSION

By **October 20, 2023**, Plaintiff is directed to file an amended complaint containing the information specified above. Because Plaintiff's amended complaint will completely replace, not supplement, the original complaint, any facts or claims that Plaintiff wishes to maintain must be included in the amended complaint.

The amended complaint must be submitted to this District's Pro Se Office, be captioned as an "Amended Complaint," and bear the same docket number as this order. A form Social Security complaint, which Plaintiff is directed to complete as specified above, is attached to this order.

The Clerk of Court is directed to terminate the motion at ECF No. 5 and to mail a copy of this order and its attachment to Plaintiff *pro se*.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore *in forma pauperis* status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. *See Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444–45 (1962).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 21, 2023 New York, New York

ANALISA TORRES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

		_			
		_			
Wr	ite your full name.	CV			
		Include case number only if one has been assigned.			
	-against-				
CC	DMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY				
C	COMPLAINT FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW O	F A FINAL DECISION OF THE			
	COMMISSIONER OF SOC	CIAL SECURITY			
	The plaintiff respectfully alleges:				
1.	This is an action under section 205(g) of the Soci	al Security Act. 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), or			
	section 1631(c)(3) of the Social Security Act, 42 U	,			
	decision of the Commissioner of Social Security.				
2.	This case is properly brought in the Southern Di	strict of New York because the			
	plaintiff is a resident of the county of				
	and the State of				
	or (optional)				
	has a principal place of business in the county of	f			
	and the State of				
3.	The plaintiff's social security number is				
4.	The defendant is the Commissioner of the Social	Security Administration and has full			
	power and responsibility over Social Security and Supplemental Security Income				
	benefit determinations under the Social Security	Act.			
5.	The Social Security Administration issued an un	favorable decision regarding the			

plaintiff's application for, or eligibility to receive, benefits under Title XVI of the Social

		curity Act (SSI - Supplemental Security Income) or Title II of the Social Security Act isability Insurance, Retirement, or Survivors benefits).				
6.	he	The plaintiff requested a hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, a hearing was neld, and the Administrative Law Judge issued a decision denying the plaintiff's claim, by decision dated (date of Administrative Law Judge decision)				
7.	rec (da La	ne plaintiff requested a review, and the Appeals Council denied the plaintiff's quest, or otherwise issued an unfavorable decision, on the of Appeals Council letter), making the Administrative aw Judge's decision the "final decision" of the Commissioner, subject to judicial view under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) or § 1383(c)(3).				
8.	The plaintiff received the letter from the Appeals Council on (date of receipt of letter)					
		IMPORTANT				
		Please attach a copy of the Appeals Council's letter to this complaint.				
Yo		Please attach a copy of the Appeals Council's letter to this complaint. nay file this complaint even if you do not have the Appeals Council letter or cannot answer all of the questions, but you may be required later to provide the missing information.				
Υα 9.	Th	nay file this complaint even if you do not have the Appeals Council letter or cannot answer				
9.	Th rec	nay file this complaint even if you do not have the Appeals Council letter or cannot answer all of the questions, but you may be required later to provide the missing information. e Commissioner's decision was not supported by substantial evidence in the				
9. W]	Th red HEI	hay file this complaint even if you do not have the Appeals Council letter or cannot answer all of the questions, but you may be required later to provide the missing information. The Commissioner's decision was not supported by substantial evidence in the cord, or was based on legal error.				
9. W]	Th rec HEI	hay file this complaint even if you do not have the Appeals Council letter or cannot answer all of the questions, but you may be required later to provide the missing information. The Commissioner's decision was not supported by substantial evidence in the cord, or was based on legal error. REFORE, the plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:				

d) grant such other relief as may be just and proper.

PLAINTIFF'S CERTIFICATION

By signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that: (1) the complaint is not being presented for an improper purpose (such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation); (2) the claims are supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument to change existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.

I agree to provide the Clerk's Office with any changes to my address. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

You must sign and date the complaint. Attach additional pages if necessary. You must also either

pay the filing fee or submi	t an application to pr	oceed v	vithout prepayment of fees.
Dated			Plaintiff's Signature
First Name	Middle Initial		Last Name
Plaintiff's Address			
County, City		State	Zip Code
Telephone Number		_	Email Address (if available)

Please see the attached Pro Se (Nonprisoner) Consent to Receive Documents Electronically. If you consent to receive documents electronically, submit the completed form with your complaint. If you do not consent, please do not attach the form.

Click Here to Save