

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

CHARLES AWUSIN INKO-TARIAH,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 23-01643 (UNA)
)	
)	
MURIEL BOWSER <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on its initial review of Plaintiff’s *pro se* Complaint and application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Court will grant the application and dismiss the complaint. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) (requiring immediate dismissal of a frivolous action).

Plaintiff, a District of Columbia resident, has brought a tort action against D.C. Mayor Muriel Bowser and Keven Vordran, identified as “Director of FBI, Washington Field Office.” Compl., ECF No. 1 at 2. Plaintiff alleges the following. In March 1994, then-U.S. Magistrate Judge Deborah A. Robinson of this Court committed Plaintiff to St. Elizabeths Hospital for evaluation and treatment. “[O]ne day between 1997-1998,” during the commitment period, “a white man in doctor’s garment . . . suspected to be either FBI or CIA agent sneakily injected” Plaintiff’s “molar gum with a tiny microchip without his consent or permission in order to better track his movement for life under the guise of dental cleaning in violation of privacy[.]” *Id.* at 2-3. Plaintiff faults the District for enabling “the U.S. government agencies especially the FBI to carry out constant surveillance” of his life “for 26 years by means of remote electronic surveillance.” *Id.* at 3. The allegations continue in this peculiar manner. *See id.* at 3-4. Plaintiff

seeks from each defendant “compensatory damages of \$10 million” and “punitive damages of \$20 million . . . for the violation of his constitutional right to privacy and violation of DC Privacy Laws for 26 years and counting possibly for the remainder of his natural life[.]” *Id.* at 9.

Complaints premised on fantastic or delusional scenarios or supported wholly by allegations lacking “an arguable basis either in law or in fact” may be dismissed as frivolous. *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989). The instant complaint satisfies this standard and therefore is dismissed. A separate order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

Date: July 11, 2023


TREVOR N. McFADDEN
United States District Judge