## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

SUSAN KNISELY,	)
Plaintiff,	)
V.	) Civil Action No. 23-01271 (UNA)
MERRICK GARLAND Honorable,	) ) )
Defendant.	) )

## **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Plaintiff, appearing *pro se*, has filed a Complaint, ECF No. 1, and an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, ECF No. 2. The Court will grant the application and dismiss this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) (requiring immediate dismissal of a case upon a determination that the complaint fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted).

Plaintiff is a resident of Las Vegas, Nevada, who has sued U.S. Attorney General Merrick Garland. The complaint's incoherency is reason enough to dismiss the case. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 8 (minimum pleading requirements); *Jiggetts v. District of Columbia*, 319 F.R.D. 408, 413 (D.D.C. 2017), *aff'd sub nom. Cooper v. District of Columbia*, No. 17-7021, 2017 WL 5664737 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 1, 2017) (a complaint that is "rambling, disjointed, incoherent, or full of irrelevant and confusing material will patently fail [Rule 8(a)'s] standard"). Nevertheless, Plaintiff seeks to compel "the U.S. Attorney General [to] resolve the public corruption problem obstructing justice that is preventing" Plaintiff "from filing a civil lawsuit in this Court against the Islamic Republic of Iran and collecting restitution[.]" Compl. at 5 (Relief). But courts cannot compel the executive branch to initiate an investigation or a prosecution because such decisions are "generally committed to an agency's absolute discretion," *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821, 831 (1985), and

"[m]andamus will not lie to control the exercise of this discretion," Powell v. Katzenbach, 359

F.2d 234, 234 (D.C. Cir. 1965). Therefore, this case is dismissed appropriately for failure to state

a claim. A separate order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

Date: May 16, 2023

\_\_\_\_/s/\_

CHRISTOPHER R. COOPER

United States District Judge

2