

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

MY'SHEKIA N. SMITH,

Plaintiff,

v.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF FOOD
AND AGRICULTURE (NIFA),

Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Civil Action No. 22-3481 (UNA)

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This action, brought *pro se*, is before the Court on review of Plaintiff's Complaint, ECF No. 1, and application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, ECF No. 2. The Court will grant the application and dismiss the complaint.

Complaints filed by *pro se* litigants are held to less stringent standards than those applied to formal pleadings drafted by lawyers. *See Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). Still, *pro se* litigants must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Jarrell v. Tisch*, 656 F. Supp. 237, 239 (D.D.C. 1987). Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires that a complaint contain a short and plain statement of the grounds upon which the court's jurisdiction depends, a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, and a demand for judgment for the relief the pleader seeks. Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). It "does not require detailed factual allegations, but it demands more than an unadorned, the-defendant-unlawfully-harmed-me accusation." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

The Rule 8 standard ensures that defendants receive fair notice of the claim being asserted so that they can prepare a responsive answer, mount an adequate defense, and determine whether the doctrine of *res judicata* applies. *See Brown v. Califano*, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977). The standard also assists the court in determining whether it has jurisdiction over the subject matter.

Plaintiff, a resident of Cambridge, Maryland, has submitted a form complaint against a federal entity that refers the reader to two attachments to sort out the factual allegations and the claims for relief. The first attachment is a seven-page Account Summary; the second attachment is a four-page rambling narrative. Complaints, such as this, “patently fail” Rule 8’s notice pleading standard. *Jiggetts v. District of Columbia*, 319 F.R.D. 408, 413 (D.D.C. 2017), *aff’d sub nom. Cooper v. District of Columbia*, No. 17-7021, 2017 WL 5664737 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 1, 2017). Consequently, the complaint and this case will be dismissed by separate order.

Date: November 21, 2022

/s/

JAMES E. BOASBERG
United States District Judge