

| | | |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| |) | |
| OMAR ALEJANDRO MEDINA, |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | Civil Action No. 22-0314 (UNA) |
| |) | |
| UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |
| |) | |

This matter comes before the court on review of plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and his *pro se* civil complaint. The Court will grant the application and dismiss the complaint.

1

and to determine whether the doctrine of *res judicata* applies. *Brown v. Califano*, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977).

According to plaintiff, the filing fee to enter the race for Governor of the State of California is \$3,916.12, an amount plaintiff cannot afford. *See* Compl. at 7. He demands an award of \$44 million not only to pay the filing fee, but also to provide protection for himself from persons who violate his privacy and otherwise threaten to harm him. *See id.* at 6, 7. This sum also, it appears, compensates plaintiff “for being blamed for allowing sex trafficking in California.” *Id.* at 7.

As drafted, plaintiff’s complaint fails to comply with the minimal pleading standard set forth in Rule 8(a). Plaintiff neither states a basis for this Court’s jurisdiction nor sets forth a short and plain statement of an actual legal claim. The Court will, accordingly, grant the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and dismiss the complaint without prejudice.

A separate order will issue.

DATE: March 9, 2022

/s/
AMIT P. MEHTA
United States District Judge