

**FILED****MAR. 10, 2022**Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy  
Court for the District of Columbia**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

SHAUN RUSHING,

Plaintiff,

v.

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS  
AFFAIRS,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 22-201 (UNA)

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Plaintiff, appearing *pro se*, has filed a “Lawsuit,” ECF No. 1, and an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, ECF No. 3. The Court will grant the *in forma pauperis* application and dismiss the case.

Plaintiff is a resident of Grand Rapids, Michigan, who has sued the Department of Veterans Affairs for “110 Trillion dollars.” In the one-page pleading, Plaintiff claims that he is “a soldier in the United States that knows he’s serving and fighting the good fight. . . . And, his 2300 intelligence Agency deserves respect.” He adds that “the word on the Street etc. is that [Plaintiff] is a who’s able him his soldiers for cleme[n]cy.”

“[F]ederal courts are without power to entertain claims otherwise within their jurisdiction if,” as here, “they are so attenuated and unsubstantial as to be absolutely devoid of merit, wholly insubstantial, [or] obviously frivolous[.]” *Hagans v. Lavine*, 415 U.S. 528, 536–37 (1974) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted). Consequently, this action will be dismissed. A separate order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

/s/

AMIT P. MEHTA

United States District Judge

Date: March 10, 2022