

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

SHAUN RUSHING,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 22-200 (UNA)
)	
U.S. ARMY <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Plaintiff, appearing *pro se*, has filed a “Lawsuit 2 count,” ECF No. 1, and an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, ECF No. 3. The Court will grant the *in forma pauperis* application and dismiss the case.

Plaintiff is a resident of Grand Rapids, Michigan, who has sued the U.S. Army and the United States for “110 Billion dollars.” In the one-page pleading, Plaintiff claims that as a U.S. citizen “he has the right to work for the United States government. And, has the right to be official.” Plaintiff then shifts, alleging only that “[a]t 14 or 15 years old,” he “was wrongfully bound over which in the United States is against the law.” He “claims his right to equality, Freedom, and Justice.”

“[F]ederal courts are without power to entertain claims otherwise within their jurisdiction if,” as here, “they are so attenuated and unsubstantial as to be absolutely devoid of merit, wholly insubstantial, [or] obviously frivolous[.]” *Hagans v. Lavine*, 415 U.S. 528, 536–37 (1974) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted). Consequently, this action will be dismissed. A separate order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

_____/s/_____
AMIT P. MEHTA
United States District Judge

Date: March 18, 2022