

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FRANCISCO MONTES, JR.,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Civil Action No. 21-02576 (UNA)
CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY,	)	
Defendant.	)	

## MEMORANDUM OPINION

The Court has reviewed plaintiff's complaint, keeping in mind that complaints filed by *pro se* litigants are held to less stringent standards than those applied to formal pleadings drafted by lawyers. *See Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). Even *pro se* litigants, however, must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Jarrell v. Tisch*, 656 F. Supp. 237, 239 (D.D.C. 1987). Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires that a complaint contain a short and plain statement of the grounds upon which the Court's jurisdiction depends, a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, and a demand for the relief the pleader seeks. The purpose of the minimum standard of Rule 8 is to give fair notice to the defendants of the claims being asserted such that they can prepare a responsive answer, prepare an adequate defense, and determine whether the doctrine of *res judicata* applies. *Brown v. Califano*, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977).

As drafted, plaintiff's pro se complaint fails to comply with the minimal pleading

standard set forth in Rule 8(a). There are far too few facts alleged to state a viable legal claim, or

to establish a basis for this Court's jurisdiction, or to show an entitlement to injunctive relief.

Furthermore, to the extent plaintiff seeks review of a ruling of the Circuit Court of Cook County,

Illinois, this Court has no authority to do so.

The Court will dismiss the complaint without prejudice and deny as moot plaintiff's

motion for a temporary restraining order. Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis

will be granted. An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

DATE: October 13, 2021

/s/

JAMES E. BOASBERG United States District Judge

2