

**FILED**

**OCT. 8, 2021**

Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy  
Court for the District of Columbia

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

SHAUN RUSHING,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Civil Action No. 21-01942 (UNA)
	)	
MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**


The Court has reviewed plaintiff's complaint, keeping in mind that complaints filed by *pro se* litigants are held to less stringent standards than those applied to formal pleadings drafted by lawyers. *See Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). Even *pro se* litigants, however, must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Jarrell v. Tisch*, 656 F. Supp. 237, 239 (D.D.C. 1987). Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires that a complaint contain a short and plain statement of the grounds upon which the Court's jurisdiction depends, a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, and a demand for judgment for the relief the pleader seeks. Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). The purpose of the minimum standard of Rule 8 is to give fair notice to the defendants of the claims being asserted such that they can prepare a responsive answer, prepare an adequate defense, and determine whether the doctrine of *res judicata* applies. *Brown v. Califano*, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977).

Plaintiff alleges that he was discriminated against by Cezo, Inc., because several employees said that Plaintiff stole equipment. Plaintiff appears to allege that he was once employed by Cezo,

Inc., but Cezo, Inc. was “allowed” not to pay him. As a result, plaintiff demands an award of \$110 trillion from the Michigan Department of Labor.

As drafted, plaintiff’s *pro se* complaint fails to comply with the minimal pleading standard set forth in Rule 8(a). There are far too few facts alleged to state a viable legal claim, and certainly too few facts to show an entitlement to an enormous damages award. Furthermore, the complaint fails to state a basis for this Court’s jurisdiction. Therefore, the Court will dismiss the complaint without prejudice and will grant the application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

DATE: October 8, 2021

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CARL J. NICHOLS  
United States District Judge