UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA



SHAUN RUSHING,)	Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Court for the District of Columbia
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 21-01908 (UNA)
UNITED STATES SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,)	
Defendant)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the court on its initial review of Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint and application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. *Pro se* litigants must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Jarrell v. Tisch*, 656 F. Supp. 237, 239 (D.D.C. 1987). Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires complaints to contain "(1) a short and plain statement of the grounds for the court's jurisdiction [and] (2) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a); *see Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009); *Ciralsky v. CIA*, 355 F.3d 661, 668-71 (D.C. Cir. 2004). The Rule 8 standard ensures that defendants receive fair notice of the claim being asserted so that they can prepare a responsive answer and an adequate defense and determine whether the doctrine of *res judicata* applies. *Brown v. Califano*, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977).

Plaintiff alleges that the Social Security Administration has discriminated against him by denying his applications for Medicare and Medicaid benefits. But Plaintiff neither identifies a

"final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security," 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), nor alleges sufficient

facts from which the Commissioner may reasonably identify the decision being challenged.

As drafted, Plaintiff's pro se complaint fails to comply with the minimal pleading standard

set forth in Rule 8(a). The Court will thus dismiss the complaint without prejudice and will grant

the application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion

is issued separately.

DATE: August 4, 2021

CARL J. NICHOLS

United States District Judge