

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

SHAUN RUSHING,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) Civil Action No. 21-01904 (UNA)
	)
STATE OF MICHIGAN,	)
Defendant.	)

## **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

The Court has reviewed the Plaintiff's complaint, keeping in mind that complaints filed by *pro se* litigants are held to less stringent standards than those applied to formal pleadings drafted by lawyers. *See Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). Even *pro se* litigants, however, must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Jarrell v. Tisch*, 656 F. Supp. 237, 239 (D.D.C. 1987). Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires that a complaint contain a short and plain statement of the grounds upon which the Court's jurisdiction depends, a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, and a demand for judgment for the relief the pleader seeks. Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). The purpose of the minimum standard of Rule 8 is to give fair notice to the defendants of the claims being asserted such that they can prepare a responsive answer, prepare an adequate defense, and determine whether the doctrine of *res judicata* applies. *Brown v. Califano*, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977).

The Plaintiff, also known as Alexis Legree, allegedly was charged with a crime and apparently a State court convicted him and imposed a sentence. The State appellate courts did not overturn the conviction, and plaintiff's public defender failed to argue that certain evidence be

introduced. For these acts, plaintiff demands an award of \$110 trillion from each of four

defendants.

As drafted, plaintiff's pro se complaint fails to comply with the minimal pleading standard

set forth in Rule 8(a). There are far too few facts alleged to state a viable legal claim, and certainly

too few facts to show an entitlement to an award of \$440 trillion. Therefore, the Court will dismiss

the complaint without prejudice and will grant the application to proceed in forma pauperis. An

Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

DATE:October 12, 2021

CARL J NICHOLS

United States District Judge

2