

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 E. K. WADE,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 HENRY J. KERNER,

15 Defendant.
16

No. 2:20-cv-1791-KJM-KJN PS

ORDER

(ECF Nos. 2, 16)

17 On October 21, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations (ECF
18 No. 16), which were served on the plaintiff and which contained notice that any objections to the
19 findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. No objections were
20 filed.¹

21 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*,
22 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed
23 de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law
24 by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court
25 . . .”). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be
26 supported by the record and by the proper analysis.


27
28 ¹ Plaintiff has filed identical second and third amended complaints (ECF Nos. 18, 19) which do
not bear on the findings and recommendations before the court.

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 16) are ADOPTED IN FULL; and

3 2. Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction (ECF No. 2) is DENIED.

4 DATED: March 17, 2021.

5 
6 _____
7 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28