

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

ANTONIO GENAO,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 21-0683 (UNA)
)	
THE UNITED STATES,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and his *pro se* civil complaint. The Court has reviewed plaintiff's complaint, keeping in mind that complaints filed by *pro se* litigants are held to less stringent standards than those applied to formal pleadings drafted by lawyers. *See Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). Even *pro se* litigants, however, must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Jarrell v. Tisch*, 656 F. Supp. 237, 239 (D.D.C. 1987). Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires that a complaint contain a short and plain statement of the grounds upon which the Court's jurisdiction depends, a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, and a demand for judgment for the relief the pleader seeks. Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). The purpose of the minimum standard of Rule 8 is to give fair notice to the defendants of the claim being asserted, sufficient to prepare a responsive answer, to prepare an adequate defense and to determine whether the doctrine of *res judicata* applies. *Brown v. Califano*, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977).

As drafted, the plaintiff's complaint fails to meet the minimal pleading standard set forth in Rule 8(a). The complaint itself alleges no facts, and taken together with its attachments, fails to articulate a single viable legal claim. Therefore, the Court will dismiss the complaint and this civil action without prejudice. An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

/s/

AMIT P. MEHTA

United States District Judge

DATE: March 18, 2021