

3/18/2021

Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy  
Court for the District of Columbia**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

JEROME L. GRIMES,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Civil Action No. 21-0245 (UNA)
	)	
LIBRARY OF CONGRESS,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

This matter is before the Court on its initial review of Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint and application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Under the statute governing *in forma pauperis* proceedings, the Court is required to dismiss a case "at any time" it determines that the action is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2).

Based on the Court's review of Plaintiff's submission, the complaint's factual allegations largely are incoherent, irrational or wholly incredible, rendering the complaint subject to dismissal as frivolous. *See Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 33 (1992) ("[A] finding of factual frivolousness is appropriate when the facts alleged rise to the level of the irrational or the wholly incredible[.]"); *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989) ("[A] complaint, containing as it does both factual allegations and legal conclusions, is frivolous where it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact."); *Crisafi v. Holland*, 655 F.2d 1305, 1307–08 (D.C. Cir. 1981) ("A court may dismiss as frivolous complaints . . . postulating events and circumstances of a wholly fanciful kind.").

The Court will grant Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and dismiss the complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) as frivolous. An Order is issued separately.

/s/

AMIT P. MEHTA

United States District Judge

DATE: March 18, 2021