

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

TERENCE SUTTON

and

ANDREW ZABAVSKY,

Defendants.

)
)
)
) Criminal No. 21-0598 (PLF)
)
)
)
)
)
)

ORDER

For the reasons and constraints set forth in the accompanying Opinion, it is hereby

ORDERED that the government's Motion in Limine to Exclude Inadmissible
Expert Testimony [Dkt. No. 219] is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART; it is

FURTHER ORDERED that John J. Brennan's testimony is admitted in part and
excluded in part; Bruce-Alan Barnard's testimony is excluded in full; Michael A. Wear's
testimony is admitted in part and excluded in part; and James K. Dahlquist's testimony is
excluded in full; it is

FURTHER ORDERED that defendant Andrew Zabavsky's Daubert Motion to
Preclude Expert Testimony [Dkt. No. 220] is DENIED IN FULL; it is

FURTHER ORDERED that defendant Terence Sutton's Motion to Exclude
Expert Testimony [Dkt. No. 221] is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART; it is

FURTHER ORDERED that Robert Drago's testimony (as proffered and narrowed in scope on November 1, 2022) is admitted in full; Carolyn Totaro's testimony is admitted in part and excluded in part; and Mark Hammond's testimony is admitted in full; it is

FURTHER ORDERED that the government's Motion in Limine to Exclude Defendant Sutton's Crash Reconstruction Opinion Testimony [Dkt. No. 293] is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED Thomas Langley's testimony is admitted in part and excluded in part.

SO ORDERED.



PAUL L. FRIEDMAN
United States District Judge

DATE: 11/16/22