UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. TERENCE SUTTON and ANDREW ZABAVSKY, Defendants.

Criminal No. 21-0598 (PLF)

<u>ORDER</u>

For the reasons given in the Opinion issued this same day, it is hereby

ORDERED that Mr. Sutton's Second Motion to Compel Discovery [Dkt. No. 40]

is DENIED; it is

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Sutton's Motion to Compel Disclosure of

Requested Discovery [Dkt. No. 122] is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Zabavsky's First Motion to Compel Disclosure

of Requested Discovery [Dkt. No. 130] is DENIED.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the government shall produce to Mr.

Sutton the following material:

1. All MPD Form 163s prepared by Mr. Sutton in the five years prior to October 23, 2020.

2. All MPD officer safety bulletins for the Fourth District from the year prior to October 23, 2020.

3. Any electronic file from any computer in the Fourth District Crime Suppression Team office prepared between October 23, 2020, at 10:00 p.m. and October 24, 2020, at 10:00 p.m. that demonstrates that someone other than Mr. Sutton contributed to or altered the traffic crash report at issue in this case. <u>See</u> Indictment [Dkt. No. 1] at 47.

In addition, it is hereby ORDERED that, on or before May 29, 2022, the

government and Mr. Sutton shall separately file supplemental briefs, not to exceed eight pages,

responding to the Court's questions regarding Request 8 in Mr. Sutton's third motion to compel

discovery, namely which IAD pursuit investigations and any annual compendiums or reports

thereof from the ten years prior to October 23, 2020, would have been accessible to Mr. Sutton.

See Opinion [Dkt. No. 158] at 24-25.

SO ORDERED.

PAUL L. FRIEDMAN United States District Judge

DATE: April 22, 2022