

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

CAROLYN E. O'CONNOR,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 20-1774 (UNA)
)	
S.O.M.E., <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on its initial review of plaintiff's *pro se* complaint and application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Court will grant the application and dismiss the complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3) (requiring the court to dismiss an action "at any time" it determines that subject matter jurisdiction is wanting).

The subject matter jurisdiction of the federal district courts is limited and is set forth generally at 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1332. Under those statutes, federal jurisdiction is available when a "federal question" is presented or the parties are of diverse citizenship and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. "For jurisdiction to exist under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, there must be complete diversity between the parties, which is to say that the plaintiff may not be a citizen of the same state as any defendant." *Bush v. Butler*, 521 F. Supp. 2d 63, 71 (D.D.C. 2007) (citing *Owen Equip. & Erection Co. v. Kroger*, 437 U.S. 365, 373-74 (1978)). A party seeking relief in the district court must at least plead facts that bring the suit within the Court's jurisdiction. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a).

The plaintiff resides in housing provided by S.O.M.E., *see* Compl. at 1, and alleges that the defendants either intentionally or negligently are endangering her health and safety, *see generally id.* at 2. She demands compensatory and punitive damages. *See id.* at 3. The complaint is not based on a federal question, notwithstanding the plaintiff's sweeping assertion, *see id.* at 2, that her fellow tenants bully her because of her age, sex and race. Nor does the complaint allege diversity jurisdiction, as all the parties are citizens of the District of Columbia. Because the complaint establishes no basis for this Court's jurisdiction, the Court will dismiss the complaint and this civil action without prejudice. A separate order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

DATE: July 6, 2020

/s/
JAMES E. BOASBERG
United States District Judge