

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

* * * * *

1			
2			
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	Criminal Action
4	Plaintiff,)	No. 20-00127
5	vs.)	
6	CHANCE BARROW,)	Washington, DC
7	Defendant.)	June 24, 2021
8	* * * * *)	8:42 a.m.
)	(MORNING SESSION)

TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL
BEFORE THE HONORABLE COLLEEN KOLLAR-KOTELLY,
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE GOVERNMENT: ELIZABETH A. ALOI, ESQ.
AMANDA R. VAUGHN, ESQ.
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
555 Fourth Street, NW
Eleventh Floor
Washington, DC 20530

FOR THE DEFENDANT: EUGENE OHM, ESQ.
OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL PUBLIC
DEFENDER
625 Indiana Avenue, NW
Suite 550
Washington, DC 20004

REPORTED BY: LISA EDWARDS, RDR, CRR
Official Court Reporter
United States District Court for the
District of Columbia
333 Constitution Avenue, NW
Room 6706
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 354-3269

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

The Court's Charge to the Jury	Page 13
Question from the Jury	Page 61
	Page 65

08:42:38AM 1 THE COURT: This is the United States versus
08:42:40AM 2 Chance Barrow, 20-CR-127.

08:42:42AM 3 Counsel, would you identify yourselves for the
08:42:45AM 4 record.

08:42:45AM 5 MS. ALOI: Good morning. Liz Aloï and Amanda
08:42:50AM 6 Vaughn for the United States.

08:42:51AM 7 THE COURT: Good morning.

08:42:56AM 8 MR. OHM: Eugene Ohm on behalf of Mr. Barrow.
08:42:58AM 9 Good morning, your Honor.

08:42:58AM 10 THE COURT: Good morning.

08:42:59AM 11 A couple things: I brought you in early because
08:43:01AM 12 it dawned on me there were a couple of instructions to go
08:43:04AM 13 over.

08:43:04AM 14 I have left the instruction relating for them to
08:43:11AM 15 no longer consider the national security clearance.

08:43:19AM 16 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: (Connecting public
08:43:23AM 17 telephone line.)

08:43:24AM 18 THE COURT: We'll wait until after we start the
08:43:34AM 19 instructions to do that, Dorothy. I want to get moving.

08:43:34AM 20 I realize, Mr. Ohm, you had insufficient evidence
08:43:44AM 21 there. They didn't give any reason, and they're not
08:43:47AM 22 required to. So I'm not going to have them speculate as to
08:43:49AM 23 why that got dropped out. The point is, it's no longer
08:43:52AM 24 there. I want to make sure they're not looking for this or
08:43:56AM 25 thinking about it.

08:43:56AM 1 The other one is the defense theory, which I had
08:44:00AM 2 done a short one. You objected, so I did a longer one.

08:44:04AM 3 And the problem with what you had proposed -- and
08:44:09AM 4 I worked from the second one -- for the defense theory,
08:44:13AM 5 frankly, your defense theory is insufficient evidence.
08:44:16AM 6 There isn't identification or entrapment or something that
08:44:20AM 7 we would put something in. There isn't any particular
08:44:24AM 8 additional evidence you're focusing on. What you're
08:44:27AM 9 focusing on is really that the Government didn't prove their
08:44:30AM 10 case.

08:44:30AM 11 It's a defense theory as an instruction. It's not
08:44:35AM 12 argument. The way you wrote it is like it's argument. I
08:44:41AM 13 read it, and it's an instruction. So it needs to be put in
08:44:43AM 14 the language of an instruction.

08:44:44AM 15 So what I've done is started off with what you
08:44:48AM 16 said, which was that he's not guilty -- asserts he's not
08:44:53AM 17 guilty, and your theory that there's insufficient evidence
08:44:58AM 18 to prove beyond a reasonable doubt each of the required
08:45:01AM 19 elements.

08:45:01AM 20 The specific language is what you focused on in
08:45:05AM 21 your version with some annotations and things in there,
08:45:11AM 22 which is argument and not something the Court would give.
08:45:15AM 23 So you can take a look at it. It's either nothing or this.

08:45:20AM 24 So I'll let you take a look at it in terms of
08:45:22AM 25 doing it. But it picks up all of the things that you

08:45:26AM 1 focused on specifically. Just put it in instruction
08:45:31AM 2 language.

08:45:32AM 3 MR. OHM: Your Honor, it's certainly preferable to
08:45:36AM 4 the one that the Court sent out yesterday. It's our
08:45:39AM 5 position that the first defense -- the theory-of-the-defense
08:45:43AM 6 instruction with facts is the most appropriate one. But
08:45:46AM 7 we'll accept this over nothing.

08:45:49AM 8 THE COURT: As I said, what you wrote was more
08:45:52AM 9 like an argument. So I'm not giving that.

08:45:55AM 10 The next thing --

08:45:56AM 11 MR. OHM: Your Honor, some judges, I know, also
08:45:58AM 12 deal with that problem by just saying "the defense contends"
08:46:02AM 13 in the beginning.

08:46:02AM 14 THE COURT: Well, I have it as "it asserts." But
08:46:05AM 15 it still is -- the way you presented it, it still is more
08:46:08AM 16 casual in terms of not being an instruction. It is part of
08:46:12AM 17 the instructions, so that's why I'm giving it this way.

08:46:14AM 18 In terms of exhibits, there will be a signed sheet
08:46:20AM 19 that you need to sign to indicate what's going back. I want
08:46:23AM 20 to clarify for the record that the NCIS notes are going to
08:46:34AM 21 be 13-A. They were not admitted. But I want to make sure
08:46:37AM 22 on the record that that's what they are.

08:46:38AM 23 The email with Trevor Nelson -- the email with the
08:46:43AM 24 Trevor Nelson chain is 13. These are defense exhibits.
08:46:48AM 25 They wound up as both being 13. So I'm making a distinction

08:46:51AM 1 between 13 and 13-A. 13-A, which is the NCIS notes, didn't
08:47:03AM 2 get admitted. So they're not going to get that.

08:47:05AM 3 There will be a sign-in sheet before the exhibits
08:47:07AM 4 are sent back to the jury for you to look at them and make
08:47:09AM 5 sure you agree this is what got admitted and is going back
08:47:12AM 6 there.

08:47:13AM 7 Electronically, if you have them set up
08:47:19AM 8 electronically with nothing else on them in terms of their
08:47:23AM 9 only being the things that are admitted -- so they're copies
08:47:27AM 10 of the exhibits -- we can consider if people look at it and
08:47:32AM 11 send it back because they've got big screens. Otherwise,
08:47:39AM 12 they're looking at paper, which has to be passed around. So
08:47:40AM 13 it's something you may want to consider.

08:47:42AM 14 We should talk to John Cramer, Dorothy, when we're
08:47:46AM 15 finished with the instructions for him to help us as to how
08:47:48AM 16 it can be shown. Because if somebody back there -- we've
08:47:52AM 17 got a clean laptop. They can just show it and everybody can
08:47:55AM 18 look at them. But it should be only the exhibits that were
08:47:58AM 19 admitted.

08:47:58AM 20 My understanding is that you all just had a laptop
08:48:01AM 21 with nothing else on it. But I could be wrong. That's
08:48:04AM 22 usually what they do. Yes? No?

08:48:09AM 23 MS. ALOI: Yes.

08:48:10AM 24 THE COURT: I'll let you confer, both sides, with
08:48:13AM 25 John Cramer when he comes as to what's to be sent back.

08:48:17AM 1 Obviously, you will have paper copies, but that doesn't get
08:48:21AM 2 easily sent around for everybody to take a look at it,
08:48:24AM 3 because they've got big screens. It's a courtroom just like
08:48:26AM 4 this.

08:48:29AM 5 Ms. Aloï, did you want to say something?

08:48:31AM 6 MS. ALOI: No. We're all squared away.

08:48:33AM 7 THE COURT: So I'm assuming the same thing,
08:48:38AM 8 Ms. McElvein, that you've got the same thing in terms of
08:48:41AM 9 your exhibits that can be done electronically without too
08:48:45AM 10 much trouble?

08:48:46AM 11 MS. McELVEIN: Yes. I can certainly do that
08:48:51AM 12 without too much trouble.

08:48:52AM 13 THE COURT: We'll just double-check with
08:48:54AM 14 Mr. Cramer so nothing goes back that creates a problem at a
08:48:57AM 15 later point. But, anyway, they've got big screens like we
08:49:00AM 16 have here. It's exactly the same setup. And so it's just
08:49:03AM 17 easier for all of them to take a look at documents that are
08:49:06AM 18 at issue.

08:49:08AM 19 You should know that their lunches are at 12:30,
08:49:12AM 20 because that's what the kitchen does.

08:49:15AM 21 As I understand it, they're doing -- what are they
08:49:17AM 22 doing? They're bringing up sandwiches? Dorothy, what's
08:49:19AM 23 happening with them? They're not doing any lunch?

08:49:22AM 24 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Everybody just buys their
08:49:23AM 25 own lunch. The marshal takes them downstairs all together.

08:49:26AM 1 THE COURT: Oh, they go down. That's what I was
08:49:28AM 2 asking.

08:49:29AM 3 So I can tell them correctly, just tell me, what
08:49:31AM 4 happens at lunch?

08:49:32AM 5 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: The CSO will come up and
08:49:35AM 6 get them, take them downstairs for them to get their lunch
08:49:38AM 7 and bring it back up.

08:49:40AM 8 THE COURT: I wasn't sure what they were going to
08:49:42AM 9 do.

08:49:42AM 10 At the end of this, we'll have a discussion as
08:49:49AM 11 well as to where counsel is going to be while we have them
08:49:53AM 12 deliberating.

08:49:54AM 13 I would stay in the courthouse, at least
08:49:57AM 14 initially. If we're going to get notes, we tend to get them
08:50:00AM 15 at the beginning. If you're going to be out of the
08:50:02AM 16 courthouse, we need to have a cell phone or some way to know
08:50:06AM 17 where you are. You can't be too far. We only call one
08:50:11AM 18 person for each side.

08:50:15AM 19 Mr. Barrow, you should stay with your lawyer so
08:50:17AM 20 we're not trying to find you as well.

08:50:19AM 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

08:50:19AM 22 THE COURT: The break is at 12:30. And they come
08:50:22AM 23 back roughly -- they'll be finished with their lunch around
08:50:26AM 24 1:30. If you're going to go someplace during that period of
08:50:30AM 25 time, check in with the courtroom to make sure they haven't

08:50:35AM 1 sent us -- they tend to send notes or verdicts just before
08:50:40AM 2 lunch or right after lunch. So if we're not able to get you
08:50:42AM 3 and you're off someplace, it sort of delays the matter.

08:50:46AM 4 So I don't know where you're going to hang out,
08:50:48AM 5 the courthouse, or if you're going someplace else. Anyway,
08:50:53AM 6 just be prepared to let us know at the end.

08:50:59AM 7 I think that's it. Let me just look at one other
08:51:02AM 8 thing.

08:51:07AM 9 There will be a CSO that will be out of the
08:51:09AM 10 courtroom. They have to communicate with us with notes.

08:51:12AM 11 They will get two copies of the verdict form.
08:51:15AM 12 They'll send a note back when they reach a unanimous verdict
08:51:20AM 13 with the verdict form. They keep one; we get one. We bring
08:51:25AM 14 them out, ask them -- the foreperson to stand.

08:51:29AM 15 So we need to have the foreperson have a hand mic
08:51:33AM 16 to be able to do the verdict when we get it, Dorothy.

08:51:37AM 17 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Okay.

08:51:38AM 18 THE COURT: And then if there's a poll, we'll have
08:51:41AM 19 to hand it around to each of the jurors, assuming that's
08:51:46AM 20 what happens.

08:51:47AM 21 Let me see what else there is.

08:51:49AM 22 I'm going to say all of this again so they will
08:51:52AM 23 not have missed anything.

08:51:53AM 24 Do we have all the jurors?

08:52:00AM 25 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: No.

08:52:03AM 1 THE COURT: Any other aspects of this, Dorothy, we
08:52:06AM 2 need to go over, since it's not our usual jury room?
08:52:09AM 3 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: No.
08:52:37AM 4 THE COURT: Now we can get the line in the
08:52:42AM 5 overflow courtroom set up.
08:52:43AM 6 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: (Connects public telephone
08:52:50AM 7 line.)
08:52:51AM 8 THE COURT: You'll see we did a table of contents
08:52:54AM 9 so they can find things more easily in terms of the pages.
08:53:20AM 10 MR. OHM: Your Honor, for the one about the
08:53:23AM 11 national security, for the revocation of national security
08:53:27AM 12 clearance issue, is that in here?
08:53:29AM 13 THE COURT: Yes.
08:53:30AM 14 MR. OHM: What page is it on?
08:53:33AM 15 THE COURT: Hold on.
08:53:39AM 16 It's Page 21.
08:53:40AM 17 MR. OHM: Okay. Thank you.
08:56:55AM 18 THE COURT: Is the phone working?
08:56:57AM 19 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes.
08:56:57AM 20 THE COURT: Is the overflow courtroom working or
08:57:00AM 21 not?
08:57:01AM 22 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes. It should be.
09:02:21AM 23 MR. OHM: Your Honor, just so the Court knows, we
09:02:23AM 24 don't have an extra flash drive, but we're trying to get
09:02:26AM 25 somebody from the office to run one over. So we'll have

10:23:13AM 1 Do they need some assistance with the electronic
10:23:17AM 2 stuff going in there, into the other courtroom, do you
10:23:23AM 3 think, Dorothy?

10:23:24AM 4 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I don't know, Judge. I
10:23:26AM 5 need to find out who's the foreperson, if they know how to
10:23:31AM 6 use the equipment. I haven't had time to do any of this
10:23:33AM 7 yet.

10:23:33AM 8 THE COURT: That's fine. That's why I'm
10:23:35AM 9 suggesting we get help.

10:26:18AM 10 (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the
01:19:30PM 11 following proceedings were had:)

01:19:30PM 12 THE COURT: We received a jury note. It was just
01:19:43PM 13 shortly before they went to lunch. So I figured the easier
01:19:47PM 14 thing to do was to come back at 1:15. Their lunch is 12:30
01:19:51PM 15 to 1:30 and that way everybody could get lunch, including
01:19:54PM 16 them.

01:19:54PM 17 So the note reads: "As it relates to Count 1
01:19:57PM 18 only, please clarify what evidence refers to 'supplementary
01:20:03PM 19 materials'?

01:20:04PM 20 "Is it only the USAJobs application and the
01:20:10PM 21 documents uploaded (SF 50, résumé, et cetera) or does it
01:20:15PM 22 include the TIGTA portion that was completed and submitted
01:20:18PM 23 as well?"

01:20:18PM 24 Now, the Government has sent something back that
01:20:21PM 25 indicates that this is a factual issue for the jury and they

01:20:27PM 1 should be making the decision.

01:20:30PM 2 I frankly somewhat disagree. The indictment
01:20:34PM 3 indicates in it precisely what the supplemental materials
01:20:41PM 4 are. It's the résumé, the SF 15, which is the veterans'
01:20:45PM 5 preference and the SF 50. It did not include the TIGTA
01:20:49PM 6 portion.

01:20:50PM 7 So I'm not sure what documents they're asking to
01:20:51PM 8 look at. If it's fairly clear-cut from the indictment what
01:20:54PM 9 they are, I don't see any reason not to tell them as opposed
01:20:57PM 10 to them trying to figure out which documents are there.

01:21:00PM 11 Mr. Ohm? Do you agree with me or them?

01:21:03PM 12 MR. OHM: I agree with you, your Honor.

01:21:06PM 13 THE COURT: So would you agree it doesn't include
01:21:10PM 14 TIGTA?

01:21:10PM 15 MR. OHM: Yes. I think it's pretty clear that it
01:21:12PM 16 doesn't.

01:21:12PM 17 THE COURT: Government?

01:21:13PM 18 MS. ALOI: Your Honor, that's fine.

01:21:15PM 19 THE COURT: That's fine?

01:21:16PM 20 MS. ALOI: Yes.

01:21:16PM 21 THE COURT: So the note that will go back will be
01:21:19PM 22 something like: In response to your jury note as to Count 1
01:21:24PM 23 regarding which documents are involved in the referenced,
01:21:27PM 24 quote, "supplemental materials," it is the SF 50, résumé,
01:21:40PM 25 et cetera. I'll just put it the way they've done it and not

01:21:45PM 1 the TIGTA portion.

01:21:52PM 2 Do we need to say anything else?

01:21:57PM 3 MS. ALOI: Not from the Government's perspective.

01:21:59PM 4 THE COURT: Does that work?

01:22:00PM 5 MR. OHM: I'm sorry. Did the Court say it was
01:22:01PM 6 going to delineate the materials?

01:22:03PM 7 THE COURT: I'm sorry?

01:22:04PM 8 MR. OHM: Did the Court say it was going to
01:22:05PM 9 delineate the materials?

01:22:06PM 10 THE COURT: I'm just going to follow their
01:22:08PM 11 language. So to which documents are included in the
01:22:12PM 12 referenced supplemental materials, it is the SF 50, résumé,
01:22:18PM 13 et cetera.

01:22:18PM 14 So I've used it the way they've described it and
01:22:21PM 15 not -- it does not include the TIGTA portion that was
01:22:24PM 16 completed and submitted as well. So I'm just using their
01:22:28PM 17 language.

01:22:28PM 18 MR. OHM: That's fine. I guess part of me says,
01:22:32PM 19 is "et cetera" only the SF 15?

01:22:35PM 20 THE COURT: As far as I know, it's only the SF 15,
01:22:38PM 21 according to the indictment. That's what I went back and
01:22:40PM 22 looked at.

01:22:40PM 23 MR. OHM: Right.

01:22:40PM 24 THE COURT: Was the veterans' preference put in?
01:22:45PM 25 I can't remember. Was that part of it? I know there was

01:22:47PM 1 some discussion, but I don't know --

01:22:48PM 2 MR. OHM: I believe we put the whole application
01:22:51PM 3 packet in.

01:22:51PM 4 MS. ALOI: It was not in the Government's
01:22:53PM 5 exhibits, but Mr. Ohm supplemented it.

01:22:56PM 6 THE COURT: Did it include that as well?

01:22:58PM 7 MR. OHM: Yes.

01:22:59PM 8 THE COURT: So it should be the SF 50, résumé and
01:23:01PM 9 SF 15?

01:23:03PM 10 MR. OHM: Yes.

01:23:05PM 11 THE COURT: We might as well have them look at the
01:23:07PM 12 right thing.

01:23:08PM 13 So it's not the TIGTA portion completed and
01:23:10PM 14 submitted as well, using their language.

01:23:38PM 15 We're going to type it quickly and then print it
01:23:42PM 16 out if we can. If we can't, we'll just go back to chambers
01:23:48PM 17 and do it.

01:29:44PM 18 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: (Tenders document to
01:29:47PM 19 counsel.)

01:29:47PM 20 THE COURT: So that's the printed copy that's
01:29:49PM 21 going to go back. I'll sign it. Just so you have it for
01:29:55PM 22 the record.

01:29:56PM 23 So it uses their language in terms of doing it.
01:29:58PM 24 Is that all right?

01:29:58PM 25 MR. OHM: Yes, your Honor.

01:29:59PM 1 THE COURT: Government?

01:29:59PM 2 MS. ALOI: Yes. This is fine.

01:30:01PM 3 THE COURT: Okay. What I would suggest is you not
01:30:11PM 4 go too far away just in case we get another note back
01:30:15PM 5 afterwards. Or if you're going to stay in the courthouse
01:30:25PM 6 but don't want to sit here forever, just let us know where
01:30:28PM 7 you'll be. There are tables out in the atrium if you want
01:30:37PM 8 to sit there.

01:30:41PM 9 MS. ALOI: Yes, your Honor.

01:30:41PM 10 MS. VAUGHN: Thank you, your Honor.

01:30:42PM 11 (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the
02:13:18PM 12 following proceedings were had:)

02:13:18PM 13 THE COURT: I told you we'd get another note.

02:13:22PM 14 Let me read this one into the record:

02:13:26PM 15 "For Count 1, as part of 'job application,' are we
02:13:35PM 16 to consider Questions 1 through 17 from Pages 1 to 3 of
02:13:40PM 17 Government's Exhibit No. 3?"

02:13:43PM 18 I have looked at Government's Exhibit No. 3, which
02:13:53PM 19 is the application. We can either say something like
02:14:01PM 20 "Nothing's excluded" or that they should consider the whole
02:14:03PM 21 document. I wouldn't get anything more specific than that,
02:14:06PM 22 unless we excluded something.

02:14:12PM 23 MS. ALOI: Your Honor, Mr. Ohm and I don't agree
02:14:14PM 24 on much, but I think we agree the answer to this question is
02:14:17PM 25 yes.

02:14:18PM 1 MR. OHM: That's true.

02:14:19PM 2 THE COURT: Okay. Then we'll send it back short
02:14:24PM 3 and sweet.

02:14:32PM 4 MS. ALOI: Your Honor, it might be worth also
02:14:37PM 5 pointing the jury to the wire fraud instruction that you
02:14:41PM 6 gave. I fear there might be some confusion because of the
02:14:47PM 7 box that was cut and pasted from the indictment into the
02:14:53PM 8 instruction, maybe inadvertently suggesting they need to
02:14:56PM 9 find something about every particular document listed
02:14:58PM 10 instead of the requirement as set forth in your
02:15:03PM 11 instructions, that they simply need to find that the wire
02:15:06PM 12 moved interstate. It seems like the way in which the box
02:15:12PM 13 was cut and pasted from the indictment may be generating
02:15:14PM 14 some confusion.

02:15:16PM 15 THE COURT: Well, what we could do is just simply
02:15:20PM 16 suggest that they should read the full instruction on wire
02:15:31PM 17 fraud or something like that. I don't know.

02:15:34PM 18 MR. OHM: Your Honor, as I was telling Mr. Barrow,
02:15:37PM 19 I think it's entirely speculative. We have no idea what
02:15:39PM 20 they're thinking or what they're doing. We do know, though,
02:15:42PM 21 they know how to ask a question.

02:15:44PM 22 THE COURT: Right.

02:15:44PM 23 MR. OHM: So I would just say that the appropriate
02:15:46PM 24 answer right now is "yes," and they'll ask if they need
02:15:50PM 25 more.

02:16:12PM 1 THE COURT: I think they're just making sure that
02:16:59PM 2 they're looking at the right documents.

02:18:40PM 3 So the note would say, "Dear Jurors: In response
02:18:45PM 4 to the juror note from your foreperson regarding the 'job
02:18:48PM 5 application,' the answer is Yes," capitalized, "as to your
02:18:53PM 6 question, 'Are we to consider Questions 1 through 17 from
02:18:57PM 7 Pages 1 to 3 of Government's Exhibit 3?'"

02:19:00PM 8 Is that acceptable? So it picks up with their
02:19:03PM 9 language.

02:19:03PM 10 MR. OHM: Yes, your Honor.

02:19:05PM 11 MS. ALOI: Could we take a look? Are we drafting
02:19:07PM 12 something? Could we take a look at it? Ms. Vaughn is
02:19:11PM 13 coming.

02:19:11PM 14 THE COURT: Look at what?

02:19:12PM 15 MS. ALOI: Are you putting it on paper as you did
02:19:14PM 16 the last time?

02:19:15PM 17 THE COURT: Yes. I'm typing it out, signing it
02:19:17PM 18 and it goes back. I'll show it to you. It's the same
02:19:21PM 19 format as we did with the last note.

02:19:23PM 20 MS. ALOI: Okay.

02:19:24PM 21 THE COURT: I just wanted to type it out.

22 (Thereupon, Ms. Vaughn entered the courtroom and
02:19:34PM 23 the following proceedings were had:)

02:19:34PM 24 THE COURT: We'll send it to Dorothy and we'll
02:19:36PM 25 print it out in the courtroom like we did last time and

02:19:38PM 1 you'll get a copy of it. I just didn't want to print it if
02:19:44PM 2 you had a different issue about it.

02:20:57PM 3 THE LAW CLERK: Dorothy, I just sent it to you.

02:20:59PM 4 THE COURT: If you could print it out like we did
02:21:01PM 5 last time.

02:21:35PM 6 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: (Tenders document to
02:21:38PM 7 counsel.)

02:21:38PM 8 THE COURT: Look it over and see if that's okay.
02:21:40PM 9 Then we'll send the answer back.

02:21:42PM 10 MR. OHM: That's okay from our perspective.

02:21:47PM 11 MS. ALOI: That's fine. Thank you.

02:21:49PM 12 THE COURT: All right. I wouldn't go too far.

02:22:00PM 13 (Thereupon a recess was taken, after which the
14 following proceedings were had:)

15 (Morning session concluded.)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CERTIFICATE

I, LISA EDWARDS, RDR, CRR, do hereby
certify that the foregoing constitutes a true and accurate
transcript of my stenographic notes, and is a full, true,
and complete transcript of the proceedings produced to the
best of my ability.

Dated this 24th day of June, 2021.

/s/ Lisa Edwards, RDR, CRR
Official Court Reporter
United States District Court for the
District of Columbia
333 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room 6706
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 354-3269