## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FEB 07 2019

| John P. Fletcher, | ) Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts for the District of Columbi |
|-------------------|--|
| Plaintiff,        |  |
| v.                | ) Civil Action No. 19-169 (UNA)  |
| Scott Harris,     |  |
| Defendant.        | ,<br>)   |

## MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter, brought *pro se*, is before the Court on review of the complaint and plaintiff's application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP). The IFP application will be granted and this case will be dismissed for the reasons explained next.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, a district court must immediately dismiss a prisoner's complaint upon determining that it fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

Plaintiff is a Colorado state prisoner who has sued the Clerk of the United States Supreme Court for alleged actions taken in response to his petition for a writ of certiorari. Plaintiff seeks equitable relief and monetary damages. *See* Compl. at 13.

The Supreme Court "has inherent [and exclusive] supervisory authority over its Clerk." In re Marin, 956 F.2d 339, 340 (D.C. Cir. 1992) (per curiam). Therefore, "a lower court may [not] compel the Clerk of the Supreme Court to take any action." Id.; see Panko v. Rodak, 606 F.2d 168, 171 n.6 (7th Cir. 1979), cert. denied, 444 U.S. 1081 (1980) ("It seems axiomatic that a lower court may not order the judges or officers of a higher court to take an action."). In addition, "the Supreme Court Clerk and Clerk's office staff enjoy absolute immunity from a lawsuit for money damages based upon decisions falling within the scope of their official

duties," as is alleged in this case. *Miller v. Harris*, 599 Fed. App'x 1 (D.C. Cir. 2015) (per curiam) (citing *Sindram v. Suda*, 986 F.2d 1459 (D.C. Cir. 1993) (per curiam)). Therefore, this case will be dismissed. A separate order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

Date: February **7**, 2019

Inited States District Judge