FILED
JUL 3 0 2018

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts for the District of Columbia

LUKE C. TEIXEIRA,	)
Plaintiff,	) )
V.	) Civil Action No. 18-1304 (UNA)
DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS,	
Defendant.	)

## **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

This matter is before the Court upon consideration of plaintiff's application to proceed *in* forma pauperis and his pro se complaint. The application will be granted, and the complaint will be dismissed.

Plaintiff is a disabled veteran who suffers from depression and a service-connected back condition. Compl. at 1. He also has a psycho-sexual disorder, pedophilia ("PSD-P"), which was diagnosed after his discharge from the United States Army. *Id.* Plaintiff now is serving a life sentence in the custody of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice ("TDCJ"), *see id.* at 1-2, yet its Rehabilitation Division refuses to treat his PSD-P, *id.* at 2. According to plaintiff, PSD-P places him in "priority group I" and renders him eligible for treatment through the Department of Veterans Affairs ("VA"). *Id.* He explains he asked that defendant "allow him to utilize his prio[r]ity group I eligibility to provide . . . treatment for his serious medical need, namely: PSD-P," that defendant denied the request, and that plaintiff's many subsequent inquiries have not been answered. *Id.* Plaintiff asserts that defendant's refusal to provide treatment violates rights protected by the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. *Id.* at 4. Among other relief, plaintiff seeks an order directing defendant to "[i]mmediately arrange for

[him] to be allowed to use his V.A. Health Care to obtain treatment for PSD-P by a medical

practitioner with . . . expertise in treating patients with [this condition]." Id.

The Secretary of Veterans Affairs "shall decide all questions of law and fact necessary to

a decision by the Secretary under a law that affects the provision of benefits by the Secretary to

veterans[.]" 38 U.S.C. § 511(a). The Secretary's decision "as to any such question shall be final

and conclusive and may not be reviewed by any other official or by any court[.]" Id. Because §

511 precludes review by this federal district court "of VA decisions affecting the provision of

veterans' benefits[,]" Price v. United States, 228 F.3d 420, 421 (D.C. Cir. 2000) (per curiam),

this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over plaintiff's claims. See Blue Water Navy Vietnam

Veterans Ass'n, Inc. v. McDonald, 830 F.3d 570, 573 (D.C. Cir. 2016); Thornton v. United

States, 281 F. Supp. 3d 116, 119 (D.D.C. 2017) (quoting), appeal docketed, No. 18-5049 (D.C.

Cir. Feb. 21, 2018); Melvin v. U.S. Dep't of Veterans Affairs, 70 F. Supp. 3d 350, 358 (D.D.C.

2014), aff'd, No. 14-5263, 2015 WL 3372292, at \*1 (D.C. Cir. May 6, 2015) (per curiam); see

also Peavey v. Holder, 657 F. Supp. 2d 180, 186 (D.D.C. 2009) (dismissing challenge to VA's

decisions on benefits claim notwithstanding veteran's "attempts to avoid application of § 511 by

labeling his claims as constitutional claims").

The Court will dismiss the complaint for lack of subject matter. An Order is issued

separately.

DATE: July <u>3</u>, 2018