FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

JUL 17 2018

Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts for the District of Columbia

Frank Edwin Pate,)	1	
Plaintiff,) '		
v.)	Civil Action No.	18-cv-699 (UNA)
Amos Mazzant, III et al.,)		•
Defendants.)		

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Plaintiff, a federal prisoner, submitted a *pro se* complaint with two other prisoners. The complaints were severed and filed as three separate but related actions. One action was screened pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) and dismissed without prejudice. *Fry v. Kyle*, No. 18-cv-698 (UNA) (D.D.C. May 1, 2018). The plaintiff in the remaining action, *Fogle v. Walton-Pratt*, No. 18-cv-697 (TNM), avoided the court's initial screening process by paying the \$400 filing fee.¹

Invoking the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, the plaintiffs have sued the judges, Assistant United States Attorneys, Special Agents of the FBI, and witnesses involved in their cases for their alleged roles in securing the plaintiffs' convictions, and all three plaintiffs have also sued the "Attorney General of the United States, Obama Administration." See Compl. Caption; Compl. ¶¶ 17-31 ("Predicate Acts Against Plaintiff Pate"). Although the names of the

Unlike *in forma proceedings*, paid civil actions are assigned immediately to a district judge for further proceedings.

On May 26, 2015, a jury in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas convicted plaintiff of wire fraud and mail fraud. See United States v. Pate, No. 4:14-cr-00125, 2016 WL 4054912, at *4 (E.D. Tex. July 29, 2016). Plaintiff's list of defendants names nine judges, one assistant United States attorney, three FBI special agents, and one witness alleged to have committed perjury. See Compl. Caption and Compl. ¶ 28.

individual defendants may be different for each plaintiff, the reasons for dismissal under the screening provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) and § 1915(A) (for prisoner actions such as this) are the same. Therefore, this case will be dismissed for the reasons set out in the Memorandum Opinion issued in Fry, which is attached to the separately filed order of dismissal.

Date: July 11, 2018

AMY BERMAN JACKSON United States District Judge