

FILED

FEB 28 2018

Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy
Courts for the District of Columbia

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

JOSHUA PAUL HILTON HOLT,

Plaintiff,

v.

DONALD J. TRUMP,

Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Civil Action No. 18-0303 (UNA)

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on the plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and his *pro se* civil complaint. The application will be granted, and the complaint will be dismissed.

This court has the discretion to decide whether a complaint is frivolous, and such finding is appropriate when the facts alleged are irrational or wholly incredible. *See Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 33 (1992); *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989) (“[A] complaint, containing as it does both factual allegations and legal conclusions, is frivolous where it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact.”). Having reviewed the plaintiff's complaint carefully, including assertions that a device has been implanted in his ear for the purpose of stealing his intellectual property, *see* Compl. at 1, the Court concludes that what factual contentions are identifiable are baseless and wholly incredible.

The Court will grant plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and will dismiss the complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2)(B)(i), 1915A(b)(1). An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

DATE:

2/28/18



United States District Judge