


The court has reviewed Plaintiff's Complaint and, in a word, it is unintelligible. The Complaint is titled as being filed in both the federal district court and the federal bankruptcy court for the District of Columbia. At no point does Plaintiff identify Defendant Chung Huilo or make any allegations pertaining to Defendant. Plaintiff states only that he "ha[s] a CONFLICT with the rules of law" and seeks "equitable relief" in the form of "the extinguishment and the full accounting of the ledger record." *See* Compl., ECF No. 1, at 7. These statements neither constitute a "short and plain statement" of the court's jurisdiction and material facts, nor convey the nature of the dispute. Therefore, as drafted, the Complaint fails to meet the standard set forth in Rule 8(a) and must be dismissed. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a).

An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

Date: January 30, 2017



Amit P. Mehta
United States District Judge