

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Salif Kourouma,

Plaintiff,

v.

President of the United States *et al.*,

Defendants.

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

Civil Action No. 17-1890 (UNA)


MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on its initial review of plaintiff's *pro se* complaint and application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Court will grant the *in forma pauperis* application and dismiss the case because the complaint fails to meet the minimal pleading requirements of Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

*Pro se* litigants must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Jarrell v. Tisch*, 656 F. Supp. 237, 239 (D.D.C. 1987). Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires complaints to contain "(1) a short and plain statement of the grounds for the court's jurisdiction [and] (2) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a); *see Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009); *Ciralsky v. CIA*, 355 F.3d 661, 668-71 (D.C. Cir. 2004). The Rule 8 standard ensures that defendants receive fair notice of the claim being asserted so that they can prepare a responsive answer and an adequate defense and determine whether the doctrine of *res judicata* applies. *Brown v. Califano*, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977).

Plaintiff sues the three branches of the federal government—Executive, Legislative and Judicial—for conduct unknown. *See* Compl. (“ I want the U.S. government to stop me been [sic] a system and give me a price of my system. . . . They are talking about 360 trillards [sic] dollars . . . but I don’t know what is the price.”). As a result, this case will be dismissed. A separate order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

Date: October 19, 2017

  
United States District Judge