

§§ 12101-12213 (2013) (amended 2008). (Compl. p. 1). Hall alleges that the EEOC violated these federal laws by ignoring evidence, losing evidence and falsely determining that his DHS EEO complaint was untimely. (Compl. ¶¶ 3, 4a, 4c; *id.* p. 8).

“[N]o cause of action against the EEOC exists for challenges to its processing of a claim.” *Smith v. Casellas*, 119 F.3d 33, 34 (D.C. Cir. 1997) (per curiam). Rather, “Congress intended the private right of action . . . under which an aggrieved employee may bring a Title VII action directly against his or her employer [] to serve as the remedy for any improper handling of a discrimination charge by the EEOC.” *Id.* (citing 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1)). Therefore, the court will dismiss this case by separate order.

To the extent Hall wishes to amend his Complaint to assert claims against his employer and to include the factual biases for his discrimination claims, he may file a motion to reopen this case and amend his Complaint by October 20, 2017.

The Clerk of the Court shall mail a copy of this order to:

STEVEN H. HALL
7141 Chesapeake Village Blvd
Chesapeake Beach, MD 20732

Date: September 15, 2017

Tanya S. Chutkan
TANYA S. CHUTKAN
United States District Judge