UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FILED

JUN 2 6 2017

Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts for the District of Columbia

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. 17-1140 (UNA)

TOM VILSACK,

v.

ALBERT SHADE,

Defendant.

MEMORANDUM OPINION

The Court construes the complaint as plaintiff's latest attempt to sue the United States Department of Agriculture for its discriminatory lending practices in 1982. The court previously held that there is no legal basis to do so outside of the Consent Decree reached in Pigford v. Glickman, Civ. No. 97-1978 (D.D.C. 1999). See Shade v. U.S. Cong., 942 F. Supp. 2d 43, 49 (D.D.C. 2013), aff'd sub nom. Shade v. Cong., No. 13-5185, 2013 WL 5975978 (D.C. Cir. Oct. 15, 2013).

The Court will grant plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis and dismiss the complaint. An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

DATE: June 28, 2017