UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

DEBORAH DIANE FLETCHER,)
Plaintiff,)
) Case: 1:17-cv-00458
v.) Assigned To : Unassigned
) Assign. Date : 3/15/2017
APPEALS COURT CLERK OFFICE,) Description: Pro Se Gen. Civ. (F-DECK)
)
Defendant.)

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and her *pro se* civil complaint. According to plaintiff, the Clerk of the United States Court of Appeals has "denied plaintiff her constitution[al] rights to receive four awards that were file[d] in [the] court system during October or November 2016." Compl. at 2. She has asked this Court to "order the defen[d]ant to put these awards through and stop holding them." *Id*.

Even if this Court had the authority to compel the D.C. Circuit to act, see Panko v. Rodak, 606 F.2d 168, 171 n.6 (7th Cir. 1979) ("It seems axiomatic that a lower court may not order the judges or officers of a higher court to take an action."), cert. denied, 444 U.S. 1081 (1980), it does not appear that plaintiff is entitled to the relief she seeks. Not one of the four cases she identifies, see Compl. at 2, has been resolved in her favor. See Fletcher v. Supergirl, No. 16-7101 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 28, 2016) (dismissed for lack of timely notice of appeal); Fletcher v. Reed, No. 16-7099 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 28, 2016) (dismissed for lack of timely notice of appeal); Fletcher v. U.S. District Attorney, No. 16-5278 (D.C. Cir. Jan. 30, 2017) (dismissed for lack of prosecution); Fletcher v. Dep't of Educ., No. 16-5275 (D.C. Cir. Jan. 30, 2017) (dismissed for lack of prosecution).

The Court will grant plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and will dismiss the complaint. An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

DATE:

3(3/17

United States District Judge