## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Robert Heard,	)	
Plaintiff,	) Case: 1:17-cv-00345	
v.	Assigned To : Unassigned Assign. Date : 2/27/2017	
Dep't of Justice et al.,	Description: Pro Se Gen. (	Civ. (F-DECK)
Defendants.	)	
	)	

## MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on its initial review of plaintiff's *pro se* complaint and application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Court will grant the *in forma pauperis* application and dismiss the case because the complaint fails to meet the minimal pleading requirements of Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Pro se litigants must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Jarrell v. Tisch, 656 F. Supp. 237, 239 (D.D.C. 1987). Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires complaints to contain "(1) a short and plain statement of the grounds for the court's jurisdiction [and] (2) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a); see Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009); Ciralsky v. CIA, 355 F.3d 661, 668-71 (D.C. Cir. 2004). The Rule 8 standard ensures that defendants receive fair notice of the claim being asserted so that they can prepare a responsive answer and an adequate defense and determine whether the doctrine of res judicata applies. Brown v. Califano, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977).

Plaintiff, a resident of Gainesville, Georgia, has submitted a complaint against the Department of Justice; City of Gainesville, Georgia; Hall County, Georgia; Middle and High School Students, and Mexicans. *See* Compl. Caption. He seeks "injunctive relief against all the defendants." Compl. at 3. The cryptic statements comprising the complaint are incomprehensible and thus fail to provide any notice of a claim and a basis of federal court jurisdiction. Consequently, this case will be dismissed. A separate Order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

Date: February \_\_\_\_\_, 2017

United States District Judge