

**FILED**

**OCT 25 2016**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy  
Courts for the District of Columbia**

Maurice Maynard Meyers,

Plaintiff,

v.

The President of the United States, *et al.*,

Defendants.

**Case: 1:16-cv-02130 Jury Demand  
Assigned To : Unassigned  
Assign. Date : 10/25/2016  
Description: Pro Se Gen. Civil (F Deck)**


**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

This matter comes before the court on review of plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and *pro se* civil complaint. The Court will grant the application, and dismiss the complaint.

The Court has reviewed plaintiff's complaint, keeping in mind that complaints filed by *pro se* litigants are held to less stringent standards than those applied to formal pleadings drafted by lawyers. *See Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). Even *pro se* litigants, however, must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Jarrell v. Tisch*, 656 F. Supp. 237, 239 (D.D.C. 1987). Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires that a complaint contain a short and plain statement of the grounds upon which the Court's jurisdiction depends, a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, and a demand for judgment for the relief the pleader seeks. Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). The purpose of the minimum standard of Rule 8 is to give fair notice to the defendants of the claims being asserted, sufficient to prepare a responsive answer, to prepare an adequate defense and to determine whether the doctrine of *res judicata* applies. *Brown v. Califano*, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977).

The complaint, which largely is illegible, fails to meet the standard set forth in Rule 8(a). It does not set forth a statement of a cognizable claim showing plaintiff's entitlement to relief. Nor does the plaintiff demand any particular relief. The Court therefore will dismiss the complaint. An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

DATE: 10/24/2016

  
United States District Judge