UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

JACQUELINE HARRIS, et al.,)
Plaintiffs,) Case: 1:16-cv-01327 Jury Demand Assigned To: Unassigned Assign. Date: 6/27/2016 Description: Pro Se Gen. Civil (F Deck)
v.	
SETERUS, INC., et al.,	
Defendants.)

MEMORANDUM OPINION

The Court has reviewed plaintiffs' complaint, keeping in mind that complaints filed by pro se litigants are held to less stringent standards than those applied to formal pleadings drafted by lawyers. See Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). Even pro se litigants, however, must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Jarrell v. Tisch, 656 F. Supp. 237, 239 (D.D.C. 1987). Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires that a complaint contain a short and plain statement of the grounds upon which the Court's jurisdiction depends, a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, and a demand for judgment for the relief the pleader seeks. Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). The purpose of the minimum standard of Rule 8 is to give fair notice to the defendants of the claims being asserted, sufficient to prepare a responsive answer, to prepare an adequate defense and to determine whether the doctrine of res judicata applies. Brown v. Califano, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977).

The Court finds that the complaint is incomprehensible. It does not appear to state the grounds upon which this court's jurisdiction depends, or a short and plain statement of a cognizable claim showing plaintiffs' entitlement to relief, or a demand for relief. As drafted, the

complaint fails to comply with Rule 8(a) and therefore it will be dismissed. An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

DATE: 6/24(16

United States District Judge