

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Nathanael L. Reynolds,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Case: 1:16-cv-00895
	)	Assigned To : Unassigned
	)	Assign. Date : 5/11/2016
Magistrate Judge Shiva V. Hodges <i>et al.</i> ,	)	Description: Pro Se Gen. Civ.
	)	
Defendants.	)	


MEMORANDUM OPINION

Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, has submitted a complaint and an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The application will be granted and the complaint will be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, which requires the Court to screen and dismiss a prisoner's complaint upon a determination that it fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted or seeks monetary relief from an immune defendant. *See id.* § 1915A(b).

Plaintiff is an inmate at the Charleston County Detention Center in Charleston, South Carolina. He has brought suit against a district judge and a magistrate judge, both sitting in the U.S. District Court for the District of South Carolina. Plaintiff complains about their rulings, *see* Compl. ¶ IV, and he seeks \$10 million from each defendant. In addition, plaintiff seeks this Court's intervention.

Judges are absolutely immune from a lawsuit based, as here, on acts taken during the performance of their official duties. *See Mirales v. Waco*, 502 U.S. 9, 11-12 (1991); *Thanh Vong Hoai v. Superior Court for District of Columbia*, 344 Fed. Appx. 620 (D.C. Cir. 2009) (*per curiam*); *Sindram v. Suda*, 986 F.2d 1459, 1460 (D.C. Cir. 1993); *Smith v. Scalia*, 44 F. Supp. 3d

Date: May 9, 2016

  
United States District Judge