FILE

JUL 2 2 2015

Clerk, U.S. District and Barkruptcy Courts

WILLIAM L. DEUERLEIN,

Plaintiff,

Case: 1:15-cv-01187 Jury Demand
Assigned To: Unassigned

Assign. Date: 7/22/2015

Description: Pro Se Gen. Civil (F Deck)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

STATE OF NEBRASKA, et al.,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and his *pro se* complaint. The Court will grant the application and dismiss the complaint.

Under the doctrine of *res judicata*, a prior judgment on the merits of a claim bars a plaintiff from relitigating the same claim. *See I.A.M. Nat'l Pension Fund v. Indus. Gear Mfg. Co.*, 723 F.2d 944, 949 (D.C. Cir. 1983) (noting that *res judicata* "forecloses all that which migh have been litigated previously"). "[W]here *res judicata* applies, it bars relitigation not only as all matters which were determined in the previous litigation, but also as to all matters that minhave been determined." *Natural Res. Def. Council, Inc. v. Thomas.* 838 F.2d 1224, 1252 (C. Cir. 1988) (citation omitted); *see Allen v. McCurry*, 449 U.S. 90, 94 (1980). In evaluating cause of action for *res judicata* purposes, it is the factual nucleus that gives rise to a plair claim, not the legal theory on which the claim rests, that determines whether the claim proceed. *Page v. United States*, 729 F.2d 818, 820 (D.C. Cir. 1984).

Generally, the plaintiff alleges violations of constitutionally protected rights by the State of Nebraska and those involved in proceedings which ultimately resulted in the termination of plaintiff's parental rights. Because the United States District Court for the District of Nebraska has considered and ruled upon these same claims in a prior civil action, *see Deuerlein v. Nebraska*, No. 8:15CV14, 2015 WL 1608687 (D. Neb. Apr. 10, 2015), this Court concludes that the plaintiff's claims are barred under the doctrine of *res judicata*. Therefore, the complaint will be dismissed. An Order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

DATE: 7/21/2015

United States District Judge