FILED MAR 2 0 2014 Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts for the District of Columbia

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

William Patrick-Bey,)		
Plaintiff,)		
v.)	Civil Action No.	14-454
U.S. Government,)		
Defendant.)		
)		

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on its initial review of plaintiff's *pro se* Complaint application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Court will grant the *in forma pauperis* application and dismiss the case because the complaint fails to meet the minimal pleading requirements of Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Pro se litigants must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Jarrell v. Tisch, 656 F. Supp. 237, 239 (D.D.C. 1987). Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires complaints to contain "(1) a short and plain statement of the grounds for the court's jurisdiction [and] (2) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a); see Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009); Ciralsky v. CIA, 355 F.3d 661, 668-71 (D.C. Cir. 2004). The Rule 8 standard ensures that defendants receive fair notice of the claim being asserted so that they can prepare a responsive answer and an adequate defense and determine whether the doctrine of res judicata applies. Brown v. Califano, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977).

 \mathcal{N}

Plaintiff, a resident of Arlington, Virginia, sues the "U.S. Government," but he has made no factual allegations against the United States. *See* Compl. at 1 ("Catholic Charities, a subsidiary of Hillcrest Children and Family Center and also the phyc [sic] ward at Southeast Community Hospital have all attempt[ed] to assault me by way of poison medication."). Since the complaint provides no notice of a claim against the only named defendant, it will be dismissed. A separate Order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

Date: March $\mathbf{5}$, 2014

United States District Judge