JUN 1 1 2013

Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy
Courts for the District of Columbia

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

WILLIAM OSCAR HARRIS,	)		
	)		
Plaintiff,	)		
	)		
$\mathbf{V}_{\star}$	)	Civil Action No. 13	11879
	)		
THE UNITED STATES, et al.,	)		
	)		
Defendants.	)		

## **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

For purposes of this Memorandum Opinion, the court consolidates two complaints that the plaintiff submitted to the Clerk of Court on May 20, 2013. Upon review of the plaintiff's applications to proceed *in forma pauperis* and each *pro se* civil complaint, the court will grant the applications and dismiss the complaints.

The Court is mindful that complaints filed by *pro se* litigants are held to less stringent standards than those applied to formal pleadings drafted by lawyers. *See Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). The Court has "not only the authority to dismiss a claim based on an indisputably meritless legal theory, but also the unusual power to pierce the veil of the complaint's factual allegations and dismiss those claims whose factual contentions are clearly baseless." *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 327 (1989).

"William Oscar Harris was convicted by a jury of one count of conspiracy to defraud the United States . . . and six counts of passing fraudulent money orders and aiding or abetting of such acts . . . . Harris was sentenced to a term of imprisonment of 188 months for his crimes."

Harris v. Levi, Nos. 08-1792 & 10-3493, 2011 WL 2037016, at \*1 (D.N.J. May 24, 2011); see

United States v. Harris, 271 F. App'x 188, 188 (3d Cir. 2008) (per curiam) (affirming judgments

of sentence). According to the plaintiff, however, he has been "taken into custody . . . and

removed from New Jersey jurisdiction to federal jurisdiction by the United States and the

[Federal Bureau of Prisons] in violation of the statutory and constitutional protections accorded

this Plaintiff." Compl. at 3. The plaintiff has filed this civil action under the Federal Tort

Claims Act ("FTCA") and demands compensation of \$1,112,000.00, Id., Ex. (Claim for

Damage, Injury or Death dated July 28, 2012).

Absent any showing that the plaintiff has been wrongly convicted, a tort claim arising

from his conviction and sentence is necessarily without merit. This complaint is frivolous and it

must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(1)(B)(i), 1915A(b)(1).

An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

DATE: 6/5/2013

United States District Judge

2