UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FILED MAY 1 6 2013

| Clerk, U.S. District and Bankruptcy Courts |
|---|
|---|

| Xavier Flores, |) | Bankruptcy Co |
|----------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| V. |) Civil Action No. | 13-720 |
| Chase Bank, |) | 13" 12" |
| Defendant. | | |
| | | |

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on review of plaintiff's pro se complaint and application to proceed in forma pauperis. The application will be granted and the case will be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) (requiring dismissal of a case upon a determination that the complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted).

Plaintiff, a homeless individual who submitted more than 30 cryptic complaints within the first two weeks of March alone, sues Chase Bank purportedly under the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq. He states only that "Chase did not provide service when I used my card." Compl. at 1.

A plaintiff's "allegations must be enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level" Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007) (citations omitted); see Aktieselskabet AF 21. Nov. 2001 v. Fame Jeans, Inc., 525 F.3d 8, 16 n.4 (D.C. Cir. 2008) ("We have never accepted 'legal conclusions cast in the form of factual allegations' because a complaint needs some information about the circumstances giving rise to the claims.") (quoting Kowal v. MCI Commc'ns Corp., 16 F.3d 1271, 1276 (D.C. Cir. 1994)). Plaintiff does not allege that he was treated differently because of a disability and, therefore, has stated no facts to support an ADA claim. A separate Order of dismissal accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

United States District Judge

Date: May 5, 2013