

**FILED**

**MAY - 2 2013**

Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy  
Courts for the District of Columbia

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

MUHAMMAD ABDULLAH, )  
)  
Plaintiff, )  
)  
v. )  
)  
CHARLIE LYONS, *et al.*, )  
)  
Defendants. )

Civil Action No. 13-0371

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

This matter comes before the Court on review of the plaintiff’s application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and *pro se* civil complaint. The application will be granted, and the complaint will be dismissed.

The Court is mindful that complaints filed by *pro se* litigants are held to less stringent standards than those applied to formal pleadings drafted by lawyers. *See Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). This complaint is so incoherently written that the Court cannot identify factual allegations which might underlie a viable legal claim. At most, this complaint appears to either “recit[e] bare legal conclusions with no suggestion of supporting facts, or postulate[] events and circumstances of a wholly fanciful kind.” *Crisafi v. Holland*, 655 F.2d 1305, 1307-08 (D.C. Cir. 1981). Furthermore, the Court is “without power to entertain claims otherwise within [its] jurisdiction if they are so attenuated and unsubstantial as to be absolutely devoid of merit, . . . wholly insubstantial, [or] obviously frivolous.” *Hagans v. Lavine*, 415 U.S. 528, 536-37 (1974) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).

This complaint is frivolous and it must be dismissed. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(1)(B)(i), 1915A(b)(1). An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

DATE:

Apr. 19, 2013

  
United States District Judge