	D STATES DISTRICT COURT HE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	FEB 2 2 2013 Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts for the District of Courts
David Earl Wattleton,)	Courts for the District & Bankruptcy Courts for the District of Columbia
Plaintiff,)	
v.) Civil Action No.	19 (1995)
United States Supreme Court,)	1 8 9228

MEMORANDUM OPINION

)

Defendant.

This action is before the Court on its initial review of plaintiff's *pro se* complaint and application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Court will grant the application and dismiss the complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3) (requiring dismissal of an action "at any time" the Court determines that it lacks subject matter jurisdiction).

Plaintiff, a prisoner at the Federal Medical Center in Rochester, Minnesota, seeks an order from this Court "declaring Supreme Court Rule 39.8, with respect to case no. 12-7476, violates [plaintiff's] right of access to the courts, right to due process of law, and right to equal protection" and "enjoining the Supreme Court to deny the petition." Compl. at 1; see Wattleton v. USDC ND GA, No. 12-7476, --- S.Ct. ---, 2013 WL 215688 (Jan. 22, 2013) (denying plaintiff's application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* based on his "repeat[ed] abuse[]" of that Court's process and dismissing petition for a writ of certiorari). This Court lacks jurisdiction to review the decisions of the United States Supreme Court. *In re Marin*, 956 F.2d 339, 340 (D.C. Cir. 1992); see Panko v. Rodak, 606 F.2d 168, 171 n.6 (7th Cir. 1979), cert. denied, 444



U.S. 1081 (1980) ("It seems axiomatic that a lower court may not order the judges or officers of a higher court to take an action."). Therefore, this case will be dismissed with prejudice. A separate Order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

United States District Judge

Date: February _//_, 2013

A . (1)