UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FILED

ILIN 1 1 2012

Tira Misu,)		Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts for the District of Columbia
Plaintiff,	ĺ		
v.)	Civil Action No.	12 0945
United States District Judge)		
Robert Wilkins et al.,)		
)		
Defendants.)		

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on review of plaintiff's *pro se* complaint and application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The application will be granted and the complaint will be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) (requiring dismissal of a complaint upon a determination that the complaint is frivolous, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary damages from an immune defendant).

Plaintiff sues District Judge Robert Wilkins presumably of this Court and "all judges state & federal" for \$10 million. Compl. Caption. She purports to sue defendants for treason but has stated no facts. A complaint is subject to dismissal as frivolous when, as here, it lacks "an arguable basis in law and fact." *Brandon v. District of Columbia Bd. of Parole*, 734 F.2d 56, 59 (D.C. Cir. 1984). In addition, dismissal is warranted because judges are absolutely immune from lawsuits based on their official acts. *Forrester v. White*, 484 U.S. 219, 225 (1988); *Stump v. Sparkman*, 435 U.S. 349, 355-57 (1978); *Sindram v. Suda*, 986 F.2d 1459, 1460 (D.C. Cir.

1993). A separate Order of dismissal accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

United States District Judge

\ \ | \ | \

/