FILED

UNITED ST	ATES DIS	TRICT	COURT
FOR THE D	ISTRICT (OF COL	UMBIA

APR 1 2 2012

Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts for the District of Columbia

			10 101 the Diot
Davis Smith,)		
Plaintiff,)		
v.)	Civil Action No.	12 0574
IBC New Universon,)		
Defendant.)		
)		

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on its initial review of plaintiff's *pro se* complaint and application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Court will grant the *in forma pauperis* application and dismiss the case because the complaint fails to meet the minimal pleading requirements of Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Pro se litigants must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Jarrell v. Tisch*, 656 F. Supp. 237, 239 (D.D.C. 1987). Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires complaints to contain "(1) a short and plain statement of the grounds for the court's jurisdiction [and] (2) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a); *see Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S.Ct. 1937, 1950 (2009); *Ciralsky v. CIA*, 355 F.3d 661, 668-71 (D.C. Cir. 2004). The Rule 8 standard ensures that defendants receive fair notice of the claim being asserted so that they can prepare a responsive answer and an adequate defense and determine whether the doctrine of *res judicata* applies. *Brown v. Califano*, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977).



Plaintiff is a District of Columbia resident. The defendant's name is barely legible and the complaint's content is incoherent. A separate Order of dismissal accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

Date: April ________, 2012

United States District Judge