1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	KENNETH BARBOUR, No. CIV S-11-3204 CMK P
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	The 43 rd United States President,
15	Defendant.
16	/
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant
18	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis. In light of 1996
19	amendments to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, this court will not rule on plaintiff's request to proceed in
20	forma pauperis.
21	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on
22	diversity jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all
23	defendants reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events
24	or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject
25	of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is
26	no district in which the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).
	1

In this case, the defendant is located and the claim arose in Washington, D.C.,
which is in the District of Columbia. Therefore, plaintiff's claim should have been filed in the
United States District Court for the District of Columbia. In the interest of justice, a federal court
may transfer a complaint filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C.
§ 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).
Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
1. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis; and
2. This matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the District of
Columbia.
DATED: December 9, 2011
Login Kellison
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
/kly barb 11cv3204.21a
2

I