

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**TABITHA TAYLOR,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**CATHOLIC CHARITIES  
ENTERPRISES *et al.*,**

**Defendants.**

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

**Civil Action No. 11-2047 (JEB)**

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

This matter, brought under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, is before the Court on the motions of Defendant Eric Curry to dismiss the complaint against him under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). On January 18, 2012, plaintiff was advised to respond to Curry's dispositive motion by February 17, 2012, and was warned that her failure to respond could result in the Court's treating the motion as conceded and summarily dismissing the complaint against this defendant. *See* Order (Jan. 18, 2012). Plaintiff has not filed a response to Curry's motion to dismiss and has not sought additional time to do so, and Curry has renewed his motion to dismiss based on plaintiff's non-compliance with the order. The Court therefore will grant Curry's initial motion to dismiss as conceded. *See Twelve John Does v. District of Columbia*, 117 F.3d 571, 577 (D.C. Cir. 1997) ("Where the district court relies on the absence of a response as a basis for treating a motion as conceded, [the District of Columbia Circuit] honor[s] its enforcement" of the local rule.; *accord Fox v. Am. Airlines, Inc.*, 389 F.3d 1291, 1294-95 (D.C. Cir. 2004); *FDIC v. Bender*, 127 F.3d 58, 67-68 (D.C. Cir. 1997). A separate Order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

DATE: March 1, 2012

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/  
JAMES E. BOASBERG  
United States District Judge