	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	FILED
Tyrone Julius,)	OCT 1 7 2011
Plaintiff,)	Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts for the District of Columbia
V.) Orivit Action No.	11 1823
Judge Vince,)	
Defendant.	<i>)</i>	

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on its initial review of plaintiff's *pro se* complaint and application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The application will be granted and the complaint will be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3) (requiring the court to dismiss an action "at any time" it determines that subject matter jurisdiction is wanting).

Plaintiff, a District of Columbia resident, purports to sue a judge of the Superior Court of the District of Columbia for monetary damages exceeding \$999 million. The cryptic "Complaint," consisting mostly of nonsensical racial statements, presents "the sort of patently insubstantial claim[]" that is subject to dismissal for want of subject matter jurisdiction. *Tooley v. Napolitano*, 586 F.3d 1006, 1010 (D.C. Cir. 2009); *see Caldwell v. Kagan*, 777 F. Supp.2d 177, 178 (D.D.C. 2011) ("A district court lacks subject matter jurisdiction when the complaint 'is patently insubstantial, presenting no federal question suitable for decision.' ") (*quoting Tooley*, 586 F.3d at 1009). A separate Order of dismissal accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

United States District Judge

