

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FILED

JUL 27 2011

Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy
Courts for the District of Columbia

Alford Rogers,

Plaintiff,

v.

Arthur Pittman,

Defendant.

Civil Action No.

11 1368

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on its initial review of plaintiff's *pro se* complaint and application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), the Court is required to dismiss a complaint upon a determination that it, among other grounds, is frivolous. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i).

Plaintiff, a presumed resident of Rocky Mount, North Carolina, sues an individual located at 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington, D.C. (The White House). In a lengthy handwritten unpaginated complaint, plaintiff alleges, *inter alia*, that defendant "was hired by several presidents to assassinate the plaintiff . . . for the purpose of stealing inventions." Compl. at 1. The complaint includes many other bizarre and wholly incredulous allegations. A complaint may be dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) as frivolous when it describes fantastic or delusional scenarios, contains "fanciful factual allegation[s]," *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989), or lacks "an arguable basis in law and fact." *Brandon v. District of Columbia Bd. of Parole*, 734 F.2d 56, 59 (D.C. Cir. 1984). This complaint qualifies for such treatment. A separate Order of dismissal accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

DATE: July 17, 2011


United States District Judge