

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FILED

MAR 18 2011

Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy
Courts for the District of Columbia

Maria Lurdes Prior Pereira,

Plaintiff,

v.

Citibank Executive "Response Unit",

Defendant.

Civil Action No.

11 0567

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on its initial review of plaintiff's *pro se* complaint and application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Court will grant the *in forma pauperis* application and dismiss the case because the complaint fails to meet the minimal pleading requirements of Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Pro se litigants must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Jarrell v. Tisch*, 656 F. Supp. 237, 239 (D.D.C. 1987). Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires complaints to contain "(1) a short and plain statement of the grounds for the court's jurisdiction [and] (2) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a); see *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S.Ct. 1937, 1950 (2009); *Ciralsky v. CIA*, 355 F.3d 661, 668-71 (D.C. Cir. 2004). The Rule 8 standard ensures that defendants receive fair notice of the claim being asserted so that they can prepare a responsive answer and an adequate defense and determine whether the doctrine of *res judicata* applies. *Brown v. Califano*, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977).

- n -

3

Plaintiff, a District of Columbia resident, sues Citibank for \$50 billion in damages. Her rambling accusations of “scam” and “corruption” by Citibank, J.P. Morgan and other financial institutions, however, fail to provide any notice of a claim and a basis for federal court jurisdiction. A separate order of dismissal accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.



United States District Judge

Date: March 14, 2011