

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

| | | |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| TROY JENNINGS, |) | |
| |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | Civil Action No. 11-411 (BAH) |
| |) | |
| FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS, et al., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |
| |) | |

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

The Court dismissed this case after the Plaintiff failed to oppose in a timely manner the Defendants' dispositive motion despite having been warned by the Court that failure to do so could result in the motion being granted as conceded. Mem. Op., ECF No. 26; Order, ECF No. 27. The Plaintiff later moved for reconsideration of that dismissal. *See* Reconsideration Mot., ECF No. 28 [hereinafter Mot.]. The Court denied that motion because the Plaintiff had an opportunity to respond to the Defendants' dispositive motion but had neither availed himself of that opportunity nor moved for an extension of time within which to file his response. Mem. Op. and Order, ECF No. 32.

The Plaintiff has since filed two documents at ECF No. 33, both of which offer further support to an assertion the Plaintiff made in a previously filed supplement to his motion for reconsideration: that he was unable to respond to the Defendants' dispositive motion in part because he was placed in administrative segregation on July 5, 2011. The Court already considered that assertion when it denied the Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration. Mem. Op.

and Order at 3. The newly filed documents therefore provide no additional reason why the Court should reconsider its dismissal of this case.

Accordingly, to the extent that the Plaintiff again seeks reconsideration of the dismissal of this case based on the new documents he recently filed at ECF No. 33, it is hereby

ORDERED that such reconsideration **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED this 3rd day of November, 2011.

/s/ Beryl A. Howell

BERYL A. HOWELL
United States District Judge