UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FILED

MAY 1 3 2010

Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts for the District of Columbia

| CHARLES ALPINE, |) | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|------------------|----------------|
| Plaintiff, |))) | | 10 0778 |
| v. |) | Civil Action No. | |
| PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA, et al., |) | | |
| Defendants. |) | | |

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on consideration of plaintiff's *pro se* complaint and application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The application will be denied under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

Pursuant to the Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"), unless a prisoner "is under imminent danger of serious physical injury," he may not proceed *in forma pauperis* if while incarcerated he has filed at least three prior cases that were dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or for failure to state a claim. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g); *see Ibrahim v. District of Columbia*, 463 F.3d 3, 6 (D.C. Cir. 2006); *Ibrahim v. District of Columbia*, 208 F.3d 1032, 1033 (D.C. 2000). Plaintiff has accumulated three strikes, *see e.g.*, *Alpine v. Sweetin*, No. 08cv116, 2008 WL 2783514 (E.D. Tex. July 16, 2008) (adopting magistrate judge's recommendation to deny Alpine's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*); *Alpine v. Long*, No. 07cv234, 2007 WL 3132530 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 23, 2007); *Alpine v. Sweetin*, No. 07cv188, 2007 WL 2900543 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 1, 2007), and he fails to demonstrate his eligibility for *in forma pauperis* status under the imminent danger exception.

Accordingly, the Court will dismiss this action without prejudice to refiling the complaint upon payment of the \$350 filing fee.

An Order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

United States District Judge

DATE: Gyril 30, 2010