

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

_____)	
JOSEPH R. WAKER, JR.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 10-0658 (PLF)
)	
DEVON BROWN <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Pending before the Court is the motion of the remaining defendant, the District Columbia, to dismiss the complaint. *See* Order of December 9, 2010 (dismissing the complaint against the individual defendants). By Order of January 6, 2011, plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, was advised consistent with *Fox v. Strickland*, 837 F.2d 507 (D.C. Cir. 1988), about his obligation to respond to the District of Columbia's motion by February 7, 2011, or risk dismissal of the complaint on a conceded motion. Plaintiff has neither filed a response nor sought additional time to do so. The Court therefore will treat the pending motion as conceded and will now dismiss the case. A separate Order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

DATE: March 3, 2011

/s/ _____
PAUL L. FRIEDMAN
United States District Judge