


JAN 12 2010

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

10 0053

With regard to the complaint, plaintiff purports to sue for “malpractice,” but she names as defendants high-level District of Columbia officials, judges of this Court and the Superior Court of the District of Columbia, as well as “Supreme Court for DC Circuit William Rehnquist” and other entities and individuals with no apparent relationship to plaintiff. The complaint consists

of disconnected, incomprehensible statements. A complaint may be dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) as frivolous when “there is indisputably absent any factual and legal basis for the asserted wrong,” *Brandon v. District of Columbia Bd. of Parole*, 734 F.2d 56, 59 (D.C. Cir. 1984), or when it describes fantastic or delusional scenarios or contains “fanciful factual allegation[s].” *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); accord *Best v. Kelly*, 39 F.3d 328, 330-31 (D.C. Cir. 1994). This complaint qualifies for such treatment. A separate Order of dismissal accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.


United States District Judge

Date: January 8, 2010