

**Clerk, U.S. District and
Bankruptcy Courts**

09 1455

jurisdictional. *See GAF Corp. v. United States*, 818 F.2d 901, 917-20 (D.C. Cir. 1987); *Jackson v. United States*, 730 F.2d 808, 809 (D.C. Cir. 1984); *Stokes v. U.S. Postal Service*, 937 F. Supp. 11, 14 (D.D.C. 1996). The Court lacks jurisdiction to consider plaintiff's damages claim because he has not indicated that he exhausted his administrative remedies. *See Abdurrahman v. Engstrom*, 168 Fed.Appx. 445, 445 (D.C. Cir. 2005) (per curiam) (“[T]he district court properly dismissed case [based on unexhausted FTCA claim] for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.”). Accordingly, the complaint will be dismissed. A separate Order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.


United States District Judge

Date: July 21, 2009